SMALL FIRM INTERNET ADOPTION: A MARKET ORIENTED APPROACH
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ABSTRACT

Fundamental to the development of new customer value offerings via web-based commerce is a small firm’s ability to strategically acquire and exploit knowledge. The focus of this paper is the empirical testing of a normative web-based commerce adoption model developed from a review of the extant literature related to electronic marketing, the Internet and the diffusion of new innovations. A preliminary test of the model’s theoretical contentions lent support to its overall focus, but found that the firm’s existing learning capabilities were diminished during the adoption of web-based commerce. Consequently, sub-optimal adoption outcomes were associated with insufficient knowledge development.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the mainstream adoption of the Internet by Australian consumers (post 1996), there has been continual and marked increases in household participation, with 33% of all Australian households having Internet access as at May 2000, a 53% increase over May 1999 (ABS, 1999). At July 2001, 75% of small firms, defined as having 19 or less employees (McLennan, 1999) were similarly connected to the Internet (Yellow Pages Business Index, 2001). Despite the high ‘Internet implementation’ rates by small firms, only 27% utilise the medium to advertise their products online, a mere 19% accept online orders, and only 13% offer an on-line payment service for their customers (Yellow Pages Business Index, 2001). This is in stark contrast to small firm usage of the Internet for e-mail (82%) and general research purposes (65%) (Yellow Pages Business Index, 2001). Interestingly, and despite the apparent low actual usage rates for small businesses to date, 62% of Australian small firms yet to introduce the Internet into their operations, stated their imminent intention to become an online business (Yellow Pages Business Index, 2001). Given these base statistics, there appears to be a significant discrepancy between the levels of commercial Internet adoption and its contribution to incremental firm growth. A review of the literature indicates that although the potential benefits of web-based commerce are well documented (e.g. Armstrong & Hagel, 1996; Hamill, 1997; Hoffman & Novak, 1997), there is a paucity of research dealing with the generic issue of how to effectively implement web-based commerce into a small firm’s operations. Six specific areas deemed central to the adoption and effective implementation of web-based commerce were identified. The six areas, integral to the proposed normative web-based adoption model are as follows; 1) Web-based Commerce Adoption Drivers; 2) Market Orientation; 3) Cooperative Behaviours; 4) Web-based Business Models; 5) Value Chain Reconfiguration; and 6) Web-based Value. Through a synthesis of the literature, a normative and generic adoption model for small firm web-based commerce was developed.

PROPOSED NORMATIVE WEB-BASED COMMERCE ADOPTION MODEL

The model sought to combine components available to small businesses, therefore increasing possible acceptance of the model beyond specific industry characteristics. As such, this research sought to make two specific contributions. Firstly, to provide a generic adoption model for small firms with regard to the strategic implementation of web-based commerce into their overall business strategy. Secondly, to identify sources of potential difficulty and inefficiency in the actual implementation of a web-based commerce strategy for small firms.

Web-based commerce adoption drivers

Previous research into small firm adoption of web-based commerce has identified the medium’s perceived benefits as the primary motivator (e.g. Poon & Strom, 1997; Poon & Swatman, 1999). Rogers (1995) argues that
成功的采用复杂的创新需要一个超越‘单纯意识’的感知利益的知识基础。在采用模型的第一阶段（见图1），假设企业通过周围营销的宣传和改变者（例如ISP）的推广获得对网络化商务的感知利益的意识。

图1: 规范的网络化商务采用模型 - 第1阶段

然而，复杂的创新，如互联网，需要远远超过意识的知识基础来（1）理解其可能的应用，并（2）降低误用的可能性。Rogers（1995）指出，采用者必须获得一个更高的知识基础，包括‘如何’和‘原理’知识。‘如何’知识为网络化商务采用者提供了有效使用创新的理解，而‘原理’知识则指的是创新的理论基础。因此，虽然对创新的感知可能提供一个可能的‘e-vision’（Sawhney & Zabin，2001），但采用‘如何’和‘原理’知识是其成功实施的基础（Rogers，1995）。

市场导向


图2: 规范的网络化商务采用模型：第2阶段
The seminal work of Hoffman and Novak (1997) associates market-orientated firm behaviour with the development of a future web-based competitive advantage due to its ability to provide firm access to customer, market and technology intelligence. Glazer (1991) also proposed the use of a firm’s market orientation to facilitate increased access to intelligence in information intense markets. In proposing market orientation as a defendable resource system, Hunt and Morgan (1995, p 11) define a firm’s market orientation to be:

(1) the systematic gathering of information on customers and competitors, both present and potential, (2) the systematic analysis of the information for the purposes of developing market knowledge, and (3) the systematic use of such knowledge to guide strategy recognition, understanding, creation, selection, implementation, and modification.

Our contention is that such knowledge processes are a prerequisite for successful adoption of a complex technological innovation. In summary, stage two suggests that without access to specific knowledge of customers, technology and the marketplace, the firm’s adoption of web-based commerce will be less than optimal.

Cooperative Behaviours

Stage three, as illustrated in Figure 3, proposes the engagement of cooperative behaviours to share information through which the business’s knowledge base is expanded. McWilliams and Gray (1995) and Lado, Boyd and Hanlon (1997) propose the use of cooperative strategies (e.g. logistics, payment & referrals) to overcome resource weaknesses that restrict the implementation of resource strengths. Small firms in the possession of ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge may require assistance to access the benefits of web-based commerce due a possible lack expertise and/or time and financial resources.

Figure 3: The Normative Web-based Commerce Adoption Model: Stage – 3

Hoffman and Novak (1997) propose the development of a cooperative rather than competitive approach to competitors to maintain a market orientation during web-based commerce adoption. Complementary relationships with other firms are recommended to create additional value through new and innovative resource structures (Brandenburger & Nalebuff, 1995). Rayport and Jaworski (2001) also support the use of partnerships and strategic alliances which allow web-based firms to focus on their core competencies while developing resource clusters that enhance overall firm capabilities. However, during this process, the firm’s value chain and business model may be subject to a process of reconfiguration (Timmers, 1998), requiring increased knowledge of customers’ desired benefits, technological capabilities and markets (Rayport & Jaworski, 2001).
Stage three demonstrates the use of the firm’s market orientation to share information and acquire new knowledge of customer needs, technological potential, and marketplace opportunities. This increases the ability to acquire the ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge required for the adoption of web-based commerce. Cooperation at this stage, especially for small firms is vital given that the acquisition of information for web-based commerce may well represent a novel and therefore challenging area of knowledge development with regard a firm’s absorptive capacity (Cohen & Liventhal, 1990).

**Web-based Business Models**

As a result of additional cooperative strategies, two issues related to the firm’s business model/s arise. Firstly, the introduction of new firm strategies will require consideration of the existing operating structures, and secondly, the potential reconfiguration of the value chain may impact on a firm’s ability to access vital sources of intelligence. As illustrated in Figure 4, stage four contends that as strategy changes, so must structure (Mintzberg, 1990), and the firm’s market orientation is the key resource system upon which the new business model is determined.

Rayport and Jaworski (2001) state that a high quality web-based business model should meet the following criteria; it must be unique, provide links between capabilities and benefits, support links between firm activities and capabilities, be mutually reinforcing, provide a link between the physical world and the virtual world, and lastly, the resource must be capable of supporting a sustainable advantage. As such, a firm’s market orientation may potentially provide an efficient resource to small firms whom typically have scarce resources (Chappell & Feindt, 1999) and simple operating structures (Peterson, 1989; Sanchez, 1997; Chau & Pederson, 2000).

**Figure 4: The Normative Web-based Commerce Adoption Model: Stage – 4**

Consequently, such a web-based business model would be well placed to use market intelligence to develop capabilities that supported the value propositions so central to its function. Stage four illustrates that as knowledge is gained and used cooperatively, the firm’s structure is altered to accommodate and support new firm capabilities. Therefore, knowledge and the coordination of the resources are the focal drivers of business model transformation.
Value Chain Reconfiguration

Stage five, as illustrated in Figure 5 below, proposes the introduction of new value creating activities to satisfy the identified desired benefits of the target market/s. In line with market orientation theory, the challenge for firms during the introduction of web-based commerce, is to remain connected to customers and responsive to market opportunities (Day, 1999).

Figure 5: The Normative Web-based Commerce Adoption Model: Stage – 5

Under conditions of technological change, there is a greater likelihood that the link between firm offerings and customer needs may become divergent (Slater & Narver, 1994; Enders & Jelassi, 2000). To develop value offerings that complement the business model, Timmers (1998) and Afuah and Tucci (2001) argue for the reconfiguration of the traditional value chain (Porter, 1985) to access to new sources of web-based value. The model to date suggests that market orientated firms who reconstruct their value systems, may create value through the alignment of business strategy and structure with an explicit understanding of the buyers of their offerings (see Drucker, 1985; Slater & Narver, 1998). During such a process, business model development may liberate ‘trapped value’ i.e. value gained from market and value system efficiencies, and/or introduce ‘new-to-the-world value’ i.e. new value through customisation and personalisation (Rayport & Jaworski, 2001). In summary, the model assumes the firm has now acquired ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge through its market orientation, identifying desired customer benefits which require new firm capabilities, resulting in the need to reconfigure the value chain, to access new web-based value.
Web-based Value

Within the normative adoption models development thus far (see Figure 6 over page), the firm’s market orientated behaviours are posited to be central to developing a web-based value proposition. That is, in terms of the development of firm capabilities through cooperative actions and access to new value creating activities/architecture to supplement the ‘traditional’ activities of the value chain. Four sources of web-based value have been identified as efficiency, complementarities, lock-in and novelty (Amit & Zott, 2001). The value sources are dependent upon two important factors. Firstly, a synergistic relationship exists between the sources requiring a need to develop all four to enhance the value of each individual source. Secondly, their contributions to a competitive advantage are premised upon mutual gains between the firm and customer. Therefore, all are dependent on increased levels of strategic customer, market and technology knowledge.

Figure 6: The Normative Web-based Commerce Adoption Model

Given the noted discrepancy between Internet implementation and its integration into activities supportive of incremental growth, a clear opportunity to empirically test the developed normative best-practice adoption model.
RESEARCH METHOD

Due to the exploratory nature of the research, a multiple case study design (Yin, 1994) of in-depth interviews with five small firms to explore the adoption of web-base commerce within a real-life context. The research aims were to observe the degree of congruence between firm adoption of web-based commerce and the proposed model, and to identify difficulties experienced and value received. Given the proposed importance of market orientation to the adoption model’s construction, Pelham and Wilson’s (1996) small and medium firm specific market orientation scale was used to measure the degree of pre- (traditional commerce) and post-adoption (web-based commerce) market orientation. A judgemental sampling approach (Babbie, 1999) enabled the cases to be selected via a selection criterion that enhanced the research aim of observing the influence of an intangible firm resource (market orientation) during the adoption of web-based commerce and the development of new value creating activities. The firms met the following criteria: all had served domestic, interstate and international markets in excess of five years, web-based commerce supplemented their existing operations, and their web site facilitated customer service, marketing communications and the exchange of a physical product.

THE FINDINGS

The findings of this preliminary research are that the five firms did not follow the normative adoption model arising from the synthesis of the literature review. There was however support for the theoretical contentions of some discrete stages within the model. Most notably, the influence of perceived benefits. Table 1 illustrates that the relative degree of market orientation measured (with the exception of one) was less for web-based commerce in contrast to ‘traditional’ commerce, ranging from –2.25% to –28%.

| Table 1: Description of Firms |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Initial Motivation | Change Agent | Change Agent | Change Agent | Hype | Hype |
| Traditional Market Orientation | 4.56 | 4.11 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.89 |
| Web-based Market Orientation | 3.56 | 4.22 | 3.11 | 3.56 | 4.78 |
| Difference | -22.00% | 2.70% | -28.00% | -16.00% | -2.25% |

However the actual presence of market oriented behaviours was not evident in any of the firm’s web-based commerce behaviours. Not surprisingly, the firms did not access the ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge essential to the development of a web-based commerce competitive advantage. One firm did demonstrate delayed (three years post adoption) market orientated behaviours. They engaged in cooperative strategies and business model development and were able to clearly articulate the needs and wants of their web-based customers. Across all the firms, resource constraints related to time and finances were offset through the acceptance of adoption incentives/assistance during their initial adoption of web-based commerce development. However, the most prevailing difficulty experienced across the firms was access to information sources through which ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge could be obtained and incorporated into the development of web-based commerce. The ability of local ISPs to act as ‘technology linkers’ was clearly beyond the scope of their capabilities, thereby providing tacit support for Plume (2001) who notes a significant challenge confronts web-based commerce in the form of knowledge integration. Specifically, this challenge is to the ability of firms (or external persons, such as ISPs) to successfully integrate traditional marketing practices with new technological opportunities to create value that is supportive of a sustainable competitive advantage. By and large, access to new value creating activities was not observed.

Despite the firms demonstrating the capability to develop a sustainable competitive advantage in ‘traditional’ commerce through the involvement of customers and channel members, such parties were reduced to mere spectators during their initial development of web-based commerce. It was observed in one case (which also demonstrated superior market-orientated behaviours) that when customers were transformed from spectators to participants, an increased understanding of the potential of web-based commerce did in fact occur. However, the
web-based value observed (communication & research efficiencies), was internalised within the firms, not shared with customers or channel members. While web-based value received exceeded the perceived cost of adoption (time & effort), it was insufficient to lay the foundation to a future competitive advantage.

DISCUSSION

This research sought to identify possible reasons for the marked discrepancy between small firm implementation of web-based commerce and its ability to create incremental value for firms and their customers. The research findings identify several major implications for academia and small firm practitioners engaged in web-based commerce. Firstly regarding academia, the application of a firm’s market orientation, its nature, measurement, and value during web-based commerce adoption require further consideration. Secondly, the unsuccessful acquisition, and therefore conversion of adoption information into adoption knowledge by small firms represents a major hurdle to the optimal adoption of web-based commerce.

The Role of Market Orientation

As the central driver of the adoption model, market orientation was argued to be responsible for developing a rich knowledge base, reflective of customers needs (expressed and latent), marketplace opportunities, and the technologies that will connect the entities. Without such knowledge, firms may not sense the desired benefits of their present and future customers required to identify value-creating activities supportive of a competitive advantage. A clear discrepancy was obtained between recorded market orientation measurements using Pelham and Wilson’s (1996) scale and observations of actual firm behaviours. The firms, while claiming to have developed strategies based upon an understanding of their web-based customers’ behaviours (surveys), demonstrated no strategic actions related to developing knowledge of the customers, technologies and markets associated with web-based commerce (observations). The first concern relates to the application of the firm’s market orientation.

As a pre-existing intangible resource, a firm’s market orientation must be maintained and applied to contribute value to the firm. Market orientation, intrinsically reflective of a firm’s culture (Hunt & Morgan, 1995), is a source of value through application, rather than merely through possession (Prahalad & Hamel, 1990). The absence of observable market-oriented behaviours restricted the empirical testing of the normative adoption model’s application. The apparent failure to transfer the observed market-oriented behaviours from ‘traditional’ commerce to web-based commerce would appear related to the firm’s lack of direction and knowledge developing web-based capabilities.

The very nature of a firm’s market orientation may well be challenged by new learning processes inherent to web-based commerce. Slater (2001) suggests that a firm’s established market orientation must evolve to ensure greater market sensing in the face of significant market change. Slater notes that a future challenge for firms desirous of developing an e-market orientation is to stay connected to their customers. This echoes the literatures consistent ‘listen to the customer, understand the customer, and provide a solution for the customer’ proclamation for market-orientedness. The assumption being that market orientation is dependent upon a one-way linear relationship between customers, marketing, and the technologies employed to provide solutions. However, the research findings lend support to the proposition of Wrenn (1997) that the construction and application of the market orientation construct may not be so straightforward. The firms found difficulty gaining access and understanding of their customers’ needs and wants. As such, the traditional interpretational role of marketing simply did not occur. Consequently, technologies, be they products, processes, or a combination of both, did not eventuate to solve customer needs and wants. Wrenn further asserts that a non-linear relationship between the customer, marketing and technologies (Kotler, 1997) is required to translate technological attributes into customer benefits to sustain a market orientation during times of complex technical change (i.e. the Internet). The suggestion is that a far more dynamic role for marketing may be required to maintain or establish market-orientated behaviours within an environment influenced by rapid technological change.

It appears the role of market orientation during stage two was negatively impacted by the exclusion of customer’s needs, wants and consumer behaviour information from the adoption process. The absence of consideration for customer needs during the development process may produce sub-optimal outcomes, limiting internal efficiencies rather than the incremental long-term value.

However, the activities of one firm over the eight months preceding the data collection period provides evidence of the valuable role customers contribute to market orientated firms in their adoption of web-based commerce. The firm developed close relationships with web-based customers in the North American market. In addition to being the only firm to record an increased web-based market orientation, they were also the only firm to articulate a clear understanding of their web-based customers’ preferences and buying behaviour, market opportunities and the application of technology for web-based commerce. Along with an increased web-based market orientation (the survey), obvious market-oriented behaviours were observed. For example, the firm
developed a database through which valuable customer information of preferences was recorded and regularly updated, providing the firm with a longitudinal record for customer profiling. The firm also engaged in cooperative strategies to acquire ‘how to’ knowledge through sharing the cost of technical training. As such the firm’s adoption behaviours (albeit three years post adoption) provide partial support for stages two and three. The firm is using market-oriented behaviours to acquire a greater understanding of their customers and using cooperative practices to enhance such behaviours.

Finally, the case method produced an inconsistency between measured and observed market oriented behaviours. The recorded levels of web-based market orientation appear to reflect ‘anticipated’ future actions, rather than ‘actual’ behaviours. The firms appeared unable to separate their beliefs concerning what they actually do in a traditional sense compared to what that actually do in a web-based sense. Given the prominence of quantitative methods that employ surveys to measure market orientation, the findings cast a shadow over the accuracy and reliability of such methods. The findings lend support to the contentions of Rouse and Daellenbach (1999) that a greater understanding of the actual contribution of such intangible firm resources should occur from within, rather than outside firms.

Knowledge acquisition and development difficulties

A recurrent process throughout the web-based adoption model is the acquisition of market intelligence related to target markets, marketplaces and technologies and its conversion into knowledge. With the exception of one firm’s delayed behaviours, the firms have not acquired such information, and therefore lacked a sufficient knowledge base upon which to build a web-based commerce platform. As previously discussed, the firms did not utilise their observed traditional market oriented behaviours during adoption of web-based commerce.

Three interrelated factors appear to have contributed to the firm’s insufficient knowledge base. Firstly, an inability to visualise new sources of value and alternative structures that would be complementary to their existing value chains. Secondly, an over reliance upon their respective ISPs/advisors to perform a technology linking role, and therefore an apparent lack of enthusiasm to explore the new web-based landscape themselves. Lastly, the firm’s individual lack of absorptive capacity appears to have hampered what little efforts have been made to learn about web-based commerce opportunities.

The lack of vision

Sawhney and Zabin (2001) posit that many firms may be constrained by assumptions, inherited from their past that restrict their view of the future. Despite the literature’s expectation that market oriented firms will challenge past assumptions through generative learning processes, an e-vision was not visualised during adoption. As such, no consideration was given to visionary architectural change, but rather, reliance was upon modifying the past. The result reflects an ignorance as to the need for ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge. Overall the initial approach appears very much a case of “if you don’t know where you’re going, any road will take you there” (Sawhney & Zabin, 2001, p 11). The findings are consistent with the conclusions of Chaston, Badger, Mangles, and Salder-Smith, (2001) that perhaps small firms underestimate the need for planning prior to adopting web-based commerce.

The quasi-technology linker

The firms relied heavily on external ISPs/advisers to facilitate the development of web-based commerce at the expense of using their own knowledge of existing customers needs. Subsequent interviews with the relevant ISPs confirmed that they had neither the ability, nor the desire to provide ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge. Therefore, the opportunity to develop a value proposition derived from customer participation was lost, along with the value of the firm’s pre-existing market orientation. The lesson to be learnt would seem to be to empower customers as co-architects of future value, and the systems that deliver that value. This would allow the ISPs to implement what is possible from an architectural perspective, rather than what is current thinking from an engineering perspective.

Individual absorptive capacity

Absorptive capacity can be defined as the “routines and processes by which firms acquire, assimilate, transform, and exploit knowledge to produce a dynamic organizational capability” (Zahra & George, 2002), or simply, the ability to acquire and strategically use ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge. The firms lacked intensity, speed, and direction in their observed efforts to acquire external knowledge to the detriment of their ability to take possession of ‘how to’ and ‘principle’ knowledge. This appears to indicate the difficulty in transferring a market
orientation from ‘traditional’ to web-based commerce without a guiding e-vision. It also perhaps reflects the difficulty of acquiring knowledge from such a novel and challenging domain.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the overall research findings support the research of Chau and Lawrence (1998) who found little evidence of enthusiasm to actively pursue literature, or advice, regarding web-based commerce by Tasmanian firms who had adopted web-based commerce. Without engaging in ongoing market oriented behaviours (gathering, dissemination and strategic use of information), the value of a market orientation is significantly decreased. Mere prior possession of the resource is not sufficient to contribute future value, it must be engaged to ensure knowledge is first gathered and disseminated within the firm and it’s strategic partners. This research, through the development of a normative web-based adoption model sought to examine the influence of a firm’s market orientation to assist in the development of a web-based competitive advantage. It was theorised that market-orientated firm behaviours were essential requirements to optimising the development of web-based commerce in small firms within which resource (time, finances and knowledge) constraints commonly occur. That only one firm was satisfied with their adoption of web-base commerce, and that they were the only firm to exhibit market-orientated behaviours (albeit three years post adoption), provides partial support for the role of market orientation during web-based commerce adoption. This research has highlighted the difficulties in maintaining and/or developing a market orientation in environments influenced by rapid technological change. Given the significant contribution of small firms to the Australian economy (Gare, 2001), it is imperative further research examine the learning processes that contribute to the development of specific intelligence upon which incremental growth and future web-based competitive advantages are dependent.
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